Plaintiff states that the fact that a plain clothes hit man who called himself a Warwick under cover..... who assisted Officer Joseph Mee was omitted from the January 22, 2006 Warwick Police Dispatch log is a major fact. (see attached Warwick Police dispatch log that pertains to January 22, 2006).

Plaintiff states that there are other major facts that were omitted from the January 22, 2006 Warwick Police Dispatch log.

Plaintiff states that on January 23, 2006 Plaintiff went to the Pawtuxet Valley Urgent Care located in Coventry, R.I, (See attachment re: January 23, 2006 Pawtuxet Valley Urgent Care medical report).

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to the Pawtuxet Valley Urgent Care that Plaintiff was kidnapped and assaulted by Warwick Police Officer Joseph Mee in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states Plaintiff stated to Pawtuxet Valley Urgent Care that Officer Joseph Mee forced handcuffs on Plaintiff and forced Plaintiff against her will in the back seat of his police vehicle.

Plaintiff stated to Pawtuxet Valley Urgent Care that Plaintiff's life is in danger in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that on January 24, 2006, Plaintiff went to the Rhode Island State House to ask to speak to Govenor Donald Carcieri.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff spoke to the constituent Liaison Ray Guzman and a female employee of the Office of the Govenor State House, Room 115, telephone (401) 222- 8113.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff informed Ray Guzman and a female employee, that Plaintiff was assaulted and kidnapped by warwick police officer Joseph Mee and that Plaintiff needed immediate help from Govenor Carcieri.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff showed Ray Guzman and the female employee the injuries that Plaintiff received from being assaulted and kidnapped by Warwick Officer Joseph Mee. Plaintiff states that Plaintiff was told that Plaintiff could not immediately meet with Govenenor Carcieri and that Plaintiff would have to fill out an "Open Door Thursday Request Form".

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff was told by Ray Guzman and the female employee that it would take one to two months to meet with Govenor Carcieri.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff contacted the Department of Justice, Chief of Organized Crime Division, Bruce Ohr in Washington, D.C..

Plaintiff states that approximately the week of February 6, 2006, was the first and only time that Plaintiff spoke to Alan Goulart, Assistant Attorney General, Division Chief.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff informed Alan Goulart that she was kidnapped on January 22, 2006 by Warwick Police Officer Joseph Mee in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that Alan Goulart stated to Plaintiff that he could not investigate the matter and suggested Plaintiff contact the Rhode Island State Police.

Plaintiff states that on February 17, 2006, Plaintiff contacted the Rhode Island State Police and talked to Trooper Danvino and Corporal Arthur Kershaw with regard to the January 22, 2006 incident at TGIFRIDAY's mentioned herein.

Plaintiff states Plaintiff gave her name, Lori Zarlenga Blaquiere, to Corporal Arthur Kershaw.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Arthur Kershaw stated to Plaintiff that he needed Plaintiff's address and Plaintiff's phone number or that he was not going to talk to Plaintiff about any complaint unless Plaintiff told him her address and phone number.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Arthur Kershaw told Plaintiff that Plaintiff could not mail in a complaint and that Plaintiff would have to go in person to the Rhode Island state police Barracks to file a complaint.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw continued to proceed to ask Plaintiff where she was calling from.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff made it clear to Corporal Kershaw that Plaintiff wanted the address with regard to where to send the complaint .

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw stated to Plaintiff that if Plaintiff has a complaint Plaintiff would have to respond to the Rhode Island State Police Barracks in person.

Plaintiff states that when Plaintiff asked Corporal Kershaw, "what is today's date", Corporal Kershaw did not want to identify the date.

Plaintiff states that after Plaintiff proceeded to ask Corporal Kershaw again what the date was, Corporal Kershaw then told Plaintiff that, "today is the 17th". However, Corporal Kershaw did not identify the month.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff proceeded to continue to ask Corporal Kershaw with regard to what month we were in, Corporal Kershaw then finally stated that it was February 17.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw told Plaintiff that he was working late on the night of February 17, 2006 and that Plaintiff had to respond to the Rhode Island State Police Barracks in person to file a complaint.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw repeatedly asked Plaintiff where she was calling from.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw stated that Plaintiff should not expect him to listen to Plaintiff if Plaintiff did not tell him where Plaintiff was calling from.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw proceeded to ask Plaintiff questions as to who was Plaintiff's attorney, since having an attorney was not in the best interest of law enforcement who are engaged in a criminal conspiracy to murder Plaintiff.

Plaintiff states that when Plaintiff stated to Corporal Kershaw that Plaintiff's understanding was that Plaintiff could mail her complaint and that Plaintiff had contacted Attorney Generals office, Corporal Kershaw then stated to Plaintiff that Plaintiff could write a letter regarding her complaint, but that either way Plaintiff would have to go to the Rhode Island State Police Barracks in person to go over the same issues that was discussed in Plaintiff's letter.

Plaintiff asked Corporal Kershaw if the letter would be considered a complaint?

Corporal Kershaw responded by stating "yes".

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff asked Corporal Kershaw where should Plaintiff address the letter ? Corporal Kershaw responded by saying, "it's the Rhode Island State Police".

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff then asked Corporal Kershaw for the address of the Rhode Island State Police with regard to sending the letter/complaint.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw would not give Plaintiff the Rhode Island State Police address with regard to sending the letter/complaint and proceeded to ask Plaintiff where she was calling from and that Plaintiff would not give him Plaintiff's address.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff then stated to Corporal Kershaw that Plaintiff was asking him for the Rhode Island State Police address so that Plaintiff could send her letter/complaint.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw then stated to Plaintiff that he would like to know who he is speaking to first?

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Corporal Kershaw that Plaintiff did tell him who she was.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw then stated to Plaintiff that he has no way of verifying who Plaintiff was without a phone number and address.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw went on to say that Plaintiff can tell him anything over the phone or send a letter, but either way Plaintiff would have to respond in person.

Plaintiff states that at the end of Plaintiff's conversation with Corporal Kershaw, he stated that Plaintiff could come in to file a complaint or mail in a complaint, but that he would need Plaintiff's telephone number, address, and personal information to contact Plaintiff. However, Corporal Kershaw would not give Plaintiff an address to mail the complaint.

Plaintiff states that Corporal Kershaw knew who Plaintiff was and was calculating in trying to cover up and place Plaintiff in harms way.

Plaintiff states that on February 28, 2006, Plaintiff successfully completed the handgun safety test Pistol/Revolver course and received a Pistol/Revolver Certification required by Rhode Island Law to purchase a handgun for Plaintiff's protection issued by the R.I. Department of Environmental Management pursuant to Section 11-47-35 of the General Laws of Rhode Island,(See attached copy of Plaintiff's Pistol/Revolver Certification issued by the R.I. Department of Environmental Management).

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy that Plaintiff needed protection.

Plaintiff states that Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy stated to Plaintiff the following, "we(Federal Bureau of Investigation) cannot protect you, and that we are not in the business of protecting."

Plaintiff states that Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy stated to Plaintiff that if Plaintiff felt threatened by anybody, that Plaintiff needed to contact the police department in the town in which she lives and that if it happens to be Warwick, then Plaintiff needs to contact Warwick Police.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy that Plaintiff's application to purchase a handgun was denied by Detective Desjarlais of the North Kingstown Police Department and that the fabricated and false statements made by police contained in the police dispatch log are being used in denying Plaintiff's application to purchase a handgun.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff made it clear to Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy that Plaintiff's application was to purchase a handgun and that it was denied. However, Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy repeatedly skewed Plaintiff's statements and stated that Plaintiff was looking to get a gun permit.

Plaintiff states that there has been a consistent pattern with the F.B.I., Attorney Generals Office, Senator Chafee's Chief of Staff John Pagliarini, among others to skew and make false statements that Plaintiff's application is for a gun permit when in fact Plaintiff made it clear that Plaintiff application is to purchase a handgun.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff asked Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy if Plaintiff circumstances mentioned herein was an F.B.I. matter .

Plaintiff states that Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy stated to Plaintiff that Plaintiff's complaints mentioned herein are not an F.B.I. matter and that he could not help Plaintiff .

Plaintiff states that Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy stated to Plaintiff that the issue of force and a civil rights potential violation is something that U.S. Attorneys Office would not agree to prosecute and that (F.B.I) investigating are slim.

Plaintiff states that approximately 2002/ 2003, Plaintiff contacted Special Agent Holly Steves of the Federal Bureau of Investigation computer crimes division with regard to hacking of Plaintiff's computers in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that Special Agent Holly Steves Supervisor, was Supervisory Special Agent Nicholas Murphy of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Plaintiff states that Special Agent Holly Steves, among others, stonewalled and covered up with regard to hacking of Plaintiff computers in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that the U.S. Attorneys Office would not get involved or pursue the criminal matter with regard to hacking of Plaintiff's computers in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that the person in charge of the U.S. Attorneys Office was Margaret Curran who is related to a partner of the law firm of Hanson Curran and Parks which is the law firm that represented Showa Denko K.K. in Plaintiff's law suit against Showa Denko K.K. regarding L-tryptophan litigation.

Plaintiff states that on May 19, 2006, was the first and only time Plaintiff spoke to Stacey Veroni deputy Assistant Attorney General of Rhode Island, Deputy Chief.

Plaintiff states that on May 19, 2006, Stacey Veroni, interrupted Plaintiff a number of times during Plaintiff's statement of incriminating facts with regard to law enforcement engaged in a criminal conspiracy to murder Plaintiff in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni made statements to cover up the fact that law enforcement are engaged in a criminal conspiracy to murder Plaintiff in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni was argumentative and mischaracterized Plaintiff's complaints as a "strange conspiracy theory" without investigating the true facts.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff informed Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff was kidnapped and assaulted on January 22, 2006 at TGIFridays by Warwick Police Officer Joseph Mee and that Plaintiff has photographs.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff informed Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff has evidence and documents. Stacey Veroni did not ask Plaintiff to send her evidence or documents. .

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff informed Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff computers have been hacked.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni asked Plaintiff if Plaintiff thought the police hacked Plaintiff's computers to determine if Plaintiff was aware of who was hacking Plaintiff computers.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff's telephones are wiretapped.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni asked Plaintiff what was Plaintiff's complaint.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff's complaint was that there is a criminal conspiracy to murder Plaintiff in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that on December 15, 2006 Plaintiff states that telephoned 911 because Plaintiff was assaulted by her son and that West Warwick Police Captain Gregg Johnson fabricated the dispatch logs and that the police made false statements, among other things.

Plaintiff states that as Plaintiff attempted to explain to Stacey Veroni about being kidnapped by Warwick Police Officer Joseph Mee, that took place on January 22, 2006 at TGIFriday's. Stacey Veroni interrupted Plaintiff while trying to explain the above and then asked Plaintiff where Plaintiff filed her lawsuit against Showa Denko K.K..

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni asked Plaintiff how Plaintiff's life was in danger.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni the following, "there were a number of incidences where I had been followed and incidences where I have plate numbers, I have some information of the individuals that I can give you. I do not have them right here in front of me and then the police situation where they as I said".

Plaintiff states that at that point, Stacey Veroni interrupted Plaintiff and stated the following, "I'm not concerned about the police situation".

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff responded to Stacey Veroni by stating the following, "oh I'm concerned about very concerned about the police I was kidnapped".

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni continued to interrupt Plaintiff and talked over Plaintiff to cover up incriminating facts against Officer Joseph Mee, among others.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that the Warwick Police dispatch log omitted major facts that Warwick Police Officer Joseph Mee forced handcuffs on Plaintiff and that Officer Joseph Mee forced Plaintiff in the back seat of his police vehicle.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that the Warwick Police dispatch log omitted that there was a plain clothes man (hitman) that had assisted Officer Joseph Mee.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Warwick Police Officer Joseph Mee did not arrest Plaintiff and that Plaintiff did nothing wrong.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that when Plaintiff's mother arrived at TGIFRIDAY's to pick up Plaintiff, Officer Joseph Me told Plaintiff's mother to leave because Officer Joseph Mee did not want Plaintiff's mother to witness seeing Plaintiff against her will in the back seat of Officer Joseph Mee's police vehicle.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni skewed the facts that through Alan Goulart, Anna Gerard, through Linda, through Alician, and now through her, that the above named individuals at the Attorney General's Office spent hours of conversation with Plaintiff about Plaintiff's complaint and that there is nothing criminal.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni stated that Plaintiff was wasting valuable hours of time when Stacey Veroni and others at the Attorney General's office could be handling other cases.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff did not spend countless hours with her, Stacey Veroni, Alan Goulart, Anna Gerard, Linda, or Alician, and that Plaintiff had difficulty getting a hold of anyone at the Attorney Generals Office.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that when Plaintiff did speak to someone at the Attorney Generals Office Plaintiff would get the run around.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff talked to Stacey Veroni on one occasion.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff talked to Alan Goulart on one occasion.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff states did not spend countless of hours talking with anyone at the Attorney Generals Office.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff was trying to resolve the issues and problems with regard to the criminal matter mentioned herein, but Plaintiff was getting railroaded and given the run around.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff has photographs of the bruising, cuts, and swelling as a result of being kidnapped on January 22, 2006 mentioned herein.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff tried to purchase a handgun for Plaintiff protection and now there (law enforcement) are setting up roadblocks for Plaintiff to get a handgun by denying Plaintiff's application to purchase a handgun.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff made it clear to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff applied for an application to purchase a handgun that was denied. However, Stacey Veroni skewed Plaintiff's statements by stating that Plaintiff applied for a pistol permit.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff did not apply for a pistol permit.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni that Plaintiff's application to purchase a handgun was denied by Detective Desjarlais of the North Kingstown Police Department based on false statements made by police in the dispatch log mentioned herein.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veronini tried to intimidate Plaintiff to prevent Plaintiff from continuing to talk about the true facts with regard to the criminal conspiracy to murder Plaintiff by stating to Plaintiff that if the reason for Plaintiff's application being denied was for serious concerns based on the types of complaints that Plaintiff has stated regarding conspiracy, then maybe Plaintiff should never be able to have a handgun in Plaintiff's possession.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni stated to Plaintiff the following, "I am telling you this, do not call here again and speak about these complaints ..... and waste the time of these individuals with the type of complaint that your making. There is no criminal charge that we can charge from this office and you spent hours talking to various individuals from this department and I'm not going to have that again".

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni the following, " so if you believe that there was a kidnapping by the Warwick police Officer Mee, then that would be a crime right?"

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni responded to Plaintiff's above question by stating the following, " if we believed that, then it would be."

Plaintiff states that prior to talking with Stacey Veroni, Plaintiff contacted the Victims Advocate Director, Anna Gerard who is from the Attorney General Office.

Plaintiff states that Victims Advocate Director, Anna Gerard stated to Plaintiff that Plaintiff could not speak to a prosecutor by telephone about Plaintiff's complaint and that Plaintiff had to meet with a prosecutor in person inside or outside of the Attorney Generals Office.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff had serious concerns about meeting with a prosecutor in person when the Attorney Generals Office, among others are covering up for law enforcement who are engaged in a criminal conspiracy to murder Plaintiff in connection with Showa Denko K.K.

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni stated to Plaintiff that she felt that Plaintiff was just being paranoid with regard to Plaintiff's complaints.

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff stated to Stacey Veroni the following, " well just tell me this though, the doctors don't agree that I was paranoid, so tell me what other motive is it for the police to lie in these dispatch logs and fabricate, what other motive is there, there is no other motive..."

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni interrupted Plaintiff and stated the following, " ma'am, ma'am, ma'am, this conversation is over".

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni stated to Plaintiff the following, "Do not call this department with the same complaints. I'm going to direct them to forward any calls that you make to me. I am not going to have you speak with other members of this department regarding these same complaints, o.k."

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff responded to Stacey Veroni stating the following, "are you trying to cover up this or something, are you trying to cover up...."

Plaintiff states that Stacey Veroni interrupted Plaintiff and stated the following, " no ma'am, I am not part of your conspiracy theory. Thank you this conversation is over."

Plaintiff states that on May 31, 2006, Plaintiff states that Plaintiff left a voice mail message with Detective Lieutenant Robert D. Desjarlais of the North Kingstown Police Department with regard to sending Plaintiff in writing the reason for denying Plaintiff's application to purchase a handgun and to send Plaintiff a copy of the application forms that Plaintiff completed on March 1, 2006 at Cranston Gun & Coin regarding the purchase of a handgun.

Plaintiff states that Detective Lieutenant Robert D. Desjarlais of the North Kingstown Police Department did not respond to the message Plaintiff left on his voicemail with regard to sending Plaintiff in writing the reason for denying Plaintiff's application to purchase a handgun and to send Plaintiff a copy of the application forms Plaintiff completed on March 1, 2006 at Cranston Gun & Coin regarding the purchase of a handgun.

Plaintiff states that on June 21, 2006, Plaintiff asked John Pagliarini to contact Detective Lieutenant Robert D. Desjarlais, since Detective Lieutenant Robert D. Desjarlais did not respond to the above mentioned voice mail message, among other things.